EH Family Worker Audit



General Informatio	ph
Child's name	Test Test
Date of birth	19/08/2019
Case identifier number	2075012
Gender	Female
Ethnicity	Mixed
Sub-ethnicity	White and Black African
Religion	FCC (Ch)
Language of child/ language spoken at	Cantonese
home	
Do any of the family	members have a disability?
O Yes	ONO
lf yes, please give	
details List other members	
of the family – name, relationship, age of	
siblings	
EH Case Manager	
Team	
Manager	
Team	
Date case became open for this period of	
intervention	
Who made the referral?	
Why did they make the referral? What	
were they worried	
about?	
Was decision to offer	r EH appropriate i.e. did the case meet the Level 2 service needs? –

0 Yes

O N/A		
Comments		
Was this case a step dowr	n from CSSW?	
O Yes	O No	
O N/A		

Co	m	m	on	te
Co			en	LS.

If yes, can you see that the Family Worker referred to the previous CSSW assessment or closure plan to inform their work?			
O Yes	ONO	O N/A	
Comments			
List of services involved with child and family – name and type of service			

- Current plan for the child and family

	pen to	EH	at	assessment	stage
--	--------	----	----	------------	-------

- □ Closed to EH
- □ Open to EH at TAF stage
- □ Open to CSSW
- □ Open for TEHS

Comments

Name of Lead professional

Theme for the audit

This should be a summary of the theme that the audit should address – please see instructions from Practice Leads

Worker Reflections and Family Feedback

Workers Reflections on this case

You can refer to the Resilient Families training pack or the Resilient Families auditors guide

Thinking about how you applied the helping process, what reflections do you have on this case? What conclusions would you draw about your own practice? What are you most proud of? What has

challenged you? What might you have done differently? What helped you with this case? Think about 121 supervision, group supervision, group supervision, support from the network, thinking together time, panel, daily discussion, support from peers, clinical support etc. What, if anything, wasn't helpful to you?	
Do you feel the help	you are giving is helping the family?
O Yes	O No
O N/A	
Why do you think this?	
	the work with the FW
What did you like	
about the help you received from the FW?	
What would you have	
liked the FW to have done differently? Has	
anything changed as a	
result of the time you worked with them?	
	at and Pocording
Timeliness, Conser	
Contact to first decis	
 EH referral decision Case note recording 	10 working days
 EH Assessment 30 v 	Jorking days
 Initial TAF 10 workin 	g days
TAF review 60 worki When was the first	ig uays
contact for this family	
(to the Children and Family Contact Service	
or directly to the EH	
service)? Or what was	
the date the family was stepped down	
from CSSW	
Comments	
□ Was EH referral decis	sion processed within 10 days from receipt of contact?
O Yes	O No
	- 110

0 N/A	
If not can you see why not?	
What date was the EHRD passed to the service?	
	the format DD/MM/YYYY or N/A
What date was the family allocated to the Family Worker?	
Do you have any observations on this?	
After how many days from point of allocation did the worker first see the child or family?	
Please only enter the nu	mber of days or N/A
Do you have any observations on this?	
After how many days from point of allocation did the first home visit take place?	
Please only enter the nu	mber of days or N/A
Do you have any observations on this?	
Was the assessment	completed within 30 days from point of allocation?
O Yes	ONO
O N/A	
lf not, can you see why not?	
Has the assessment	been copied to all children in the family?
O Yes	ONO
O N/A	
Comments	
Was the initial TAF co	onvened within 10 days of the assessment completion?
O Yes	O No
O N/A	
If not, can you see why not?	

Was the review TAF	convened within 90	days of the ini	itial TAF completion?
O Yes		O No	
O N/A			
lf not, can you see why not?			
Were subsequent TA	\Fs convened at leas	st every 3 mon	ths?
O Yes		O No	
O N/A			
If not, can you see why not?			
Did anything in the	timescales give you	cause for conc	cern?
O Yes		O No	
O N/A			
Comments			
Has the signed cons uploaded?	ent form, safeguard	ling and inform	nation sharing document been
O Yes	ONO		O N/A
Comments			
Is case recording up	to date?		
O Yes		O No	
O N/A			
Comments			
Do case notes focus	on and evidence ou	itcomes for the	e family, including each child?
O Yes		O No	
O N/A			
Comments			
Do case notes evide	ence individual work	taken includin	g direct work?
O Yes		O No	
O N/A			
Comments			

Were the Resilient Fa the needs identified in	milies benchmark levels of need measures completed in line with n the EH assessment?
O Yes	O No
O N/A	
Comments	
Were the Resilient Fa and closure?	milies progress levels of need measures completed at TAF review
O Yes	O No
O N/A	
Comments	
Was a closure letter s	ent to the family and to the network?
O Yes	ONo
O N/A	
Comments	
Have all closure steps	s on Mosaic been completed?
O Yes	O No
O N/A	
Comments	
Can you see evidence family?	that feedback on the service received was obtained from the
O Yes	O No
O N/A	
Comments	
Overall, were case no	tes uploaded in a timely manner?
O Yes	ONo
O N/A	
Comments	
Auditors score 1 – cause for concern 2 – requires improvement 3 – satisfactory 4 – good	t
Person ID: 2075012	EH Family Worker Audit Page 6 of 12

5 – practice excellence	
Score	

Quality of the Helping Process

(based on RFP practice principles and values that workers learned in RFP training and should be applying in their practice – refer to auditors guide for things to think about and evidence to look for)

Exploration and Shared understanding (assessment)

Have the father/male carer's views been included as part of the assessment?	
Has the VOC and in particular the child's	
voice in relation to the original referral reason been included as part of the assessment?	
If Other, please specify	
Auditors reflections:	
Auditors score	
 1 - cause for concern 2 - requires improvemen 	t

- 3 satisfactory
- 4 good
- 5 practice excellence

Score

Goal setting and strategy planning (assessment to plan and TAF)

Was the father/male carer included in the goal setting and strategy planning?	
Has the VOC been included in the goal setting and strategy planning?	
If Other, please specify	
Auditors reflections	

Auditors score

- 1 cause for concern
- 2 requires improvement
- 3 satisfactory
- 4 good
- 5 practice excellence

Score

Implementation (intervention)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
Was the father/male carer included in the implementation (intervention)? Has the VOC been included in the implementation? If Other, please specify	
Auditors reflections	
Auditors score	
 1 - cause for concern 2 - requires improvement 3 - satisfactory 4 - good 5 - practice excellence 	
Score	

Review (TAF review)

Was the father/male carer invited to the initial TAF or TAF Review?	
Did the father/male carer attend the initial TAF or TAF Review?	
Was the child invited to the initial TAF or TAF Review?	
If Other, please specify	
Did the child attend the initial TAF or TAF Review?	
If Other, please specify	
Auditors reflections	
Auditors score	
 1 - cause for concern 2 - requires improvement 3 - satisfactory 	

- 4 good 5 practice excellence

Score

Ending (preparing for closure and closing)

Was a copy of the closure letter sent to father/male carer?

Did the FW complete an ending with the child?		
lf Other, please specify		
Auditors reflections		
Auditors score		
1 – cause for concern	+	

2 - requires improvement
3 - satisfactory
4 - good
5 - practice excellence Score

Supervisor Role in the Helping Process Partnership with worker

Things to think about:

Can you see evidence that the supervisor has structured supervision using RFP		
principles?		
O Yes	O No	
O N/A		
Comments		
Can you see signs that restorative time with	at the supervisor created a space for formative, normative and the worker?	
O Yes	O No	
O N/A		
Comments		
	t - can you see evidence that:	
How often did superv	ision take place?	
O Weekly	O Fortnightly	
O Monthly	O Every 6 weeks	
O Every 6 weeks +		

Was this enough?		
O Yes	ONO	
	ONO	
0 N/A		
Comments		
- Did the supervisor e	escalate challenges and seek help where neces	sanv?
O Yes	ON0	ssary:
	ONU	
O N/A		
Comments		
– Did the worker hene	efit from different sorts of help where needed,	especially if the
case was stuck (e.g.	121 supervision, reflective group supervision, ne, discussion at early help panel etc)?	
O Yes	ONo	
O N/A		
Comments		
Parallel Process		
Things to think abo	out - can you see evidence that:	
Did the supervisor u	ise the RFP approach in the way they interacte	ed with the worker? —
O Yes	ΟΝο	
O N/A		
Comments		
Did the supervisor h goals?	help the worker to set goals and identify steps	towards those
O Yes	ONO	
O N/A		
Comments		
Did the supervision	help the worker?	
O Yes	O No	
O N/A		
Comments		
Can you see evidend	ce that the supervision helped the family?	
O Yes	O No	
Person ID: 2075012	EH Family Worker Audit	Page 10 of 12

O N/A				
Comments				
Quality of Supervision	on recording			
Things to think abou	ut - can you see evide	ence that:		
Were the supervision supervision taking pla	-	saic within 5 working days of th	Ie	
O Yes	O No	O N/A		
Comments				
Were the supervision	n notes adequately deta	ailed and useful to the worker?		
O Yes		O No		
O N/A				
Comments				
The next supervision meeting?	followed up on actions	s and reflections from the previo	ous	
O Yes		ONO		
O N/A				
Comments				
Conclusions				
Overall				
Did you get a sense t result of what we did	_	etting better for the child and fa	mily as a	
O Yes	O No	O N/A		
Comments				
Did the help help?				
O Yes		ONO		
O N/A				
What led you to think				
this? What do the benchmark levels of need tell you about whether family life improved? Strengths of Practic	e from this audit			
	L			

Areas of practice to think about and work on from this audit

Auditors scores:

- 1 cause for concern
- 2 requires improvement
 3 satisfactory
 4 good
 5 practice excellence

Timeliness, consent and recording	0
Exploring and Understanding	0
Goal setting and strategy planning	0
Implementation	0
Review	0
Endings	0
Overall score for the audit Auditor	0
Team	
Date of audit	
Date worker notified about the audit findings Date of meeting with worker	

Date of meeting with supervisor